



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,256	07/25/2006	Takamasa Koshizien	23085-08069	2473
45380	7590	02/25/2009	EXAMINER	
HONDA/FENWICK			RUSH, ERIC	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET				
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			2624	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/25/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,256	KOSHIZEN ET AL.
	Examiner ERIC RUSH	Art Unit 2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC RUSH. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sabra-Anne Truesdale. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Heisele et al. "Face Recognition with Support Vector Machines: Global versus Component-based Approach" and Kim et al. U.S. Publication No. 2003/0215115 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible approaches to amending claim 19 were discussed. Cited prior art, Heisele et al. and Kim et al., were also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.